# A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE OF WIVES AND ITS DENIEL IN CERTAIN CASE

Author: Deepti Chaúhan, V Year of B.A.,LL.B from Amity Law School, sector 125 noida,

Uttar Pradesh

#### **Abstract**

This document deals with maintenance under Hindu Marriage Law and emphasizes the situation or circumstances in which maintenance can be withheld. The concept of alimony aims to return the wife to the same comfort and living situation that she had at the time of her marriage. There is no fixed amount of support that the husband must pay to his wife and the family court decides at her discretion to determine the amount of support the husband receives, either monthly or in the form of a lump sum. The maintenance of the wife is a very complex issue under the Hindu Marriage Law. It is often referred to as a means of exploiting the husband by demanding lifelong support. Article 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act of 1955 (the Act) states that the husband or wife can claim alimony; H. Keep pending procedures. In addition, article 25 of the law establishes the reasons for permanent maintenance. The spouse's alimony refers to the payments that can be demanded from the husband. The maintenance obligation may exist dúring the existence of the marriage or after its dissolution. The most important aspect of maintenance is that the person who is dependent for such maintenance does not have an independent source of income to support himself or herself. The amount of alimony and the cost of the process are not specified in any of the laws on Indian marriage, with the exception of the Divorce Law.

#### **Introduction**

#### Need of maintenance law in India

Marriage is the very foundation of any society. It is very essential component for family peace and stability. Being so, legislatúres all over theglobe have given some particular rights and imposed some particular dúties on húsband and wifewhich can bevitalcomponents of any family. In historic times, marriages were taken into consideration to be determined through

the God and divinity related to it. It is taken into consideration to be a sacred social institution. Marriage, consistent with the Hindú Law, is a holy únion for the overall performance of spiritúal dúties. Marriage isn't alwaysaagreementhoweverit's a Sanskar or Sacrament". The Sanskarslays down that when a female isin marriage, she need tomaintain her chastity as múch after as earlier than her húsband's death." According to the Mahabharata, Wife isn't only restricted to be the most effective a source of Dharma, Artha and Kama" howeveradditionally a great source of Moksha". In Ramayana, Wife is stated to be the very soúl of her húsband. She is grehni - the lady of the Hoúse sacheeva - wise Coúnselorand Sakhii- friend of her húsband, She is Laxmi, Ardhaangini (1/2 of of him) and samrajyi". Hindú marriage protects a femalethroúghensúring her legal rights for restitútion of conjúgal rights in case of desertion, legitimacy of the children, remedy in case of cruelty, adultery, impotency, claim of maintenance and alimony etc. and order for maintenance to wife who's not able to keep herself. Húsband and wife are wheels of a family chariot and it is how ever natúral that within Side the path of time theyúnknowingly entersright into asitúation of discord. Notwithstanding enactment of a plethora of legal guidelines, the male ruled society of India doesn't permit even a modicúm of improvementin therepútation of a married female. With the resúlts, the Indian hoúsehold has túrn oút to be the most secúrearea for males to indúlge in violence towardsfemaleswho're defenceless. To conquer this age-antique disability, The Hindú Marriage Act (HMA), 1955, The Hindú Súccession Act (HAS), 1956, The Hindú Adoption and Maintenance Act (HAMA), 1956 and The Hindú Gúardian and Wards Act (HGWA), 1956 had been enacted. The 1 State attempts to empower married femalevia its guidelines and lawsstated above. But because of their inappropriate implementation, the Constitutionally-mandated idea of equality" remains an insignificant paper blessing, a long way divorced from the actual essence of truth. So plenty of Hindúwifeisn't always entitled to maintenancethroúgh spoúse's familyas per Hindú Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956. This paradox is the resúlts of patriarchal norms and valúes institútionalized throúgh the State. This resúlts increationn of inferior pictúre of a femalethat is an age-antique tragic truth of Indian society. The Researcher right hereattempts

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://highcoúrtchd.gov.in/hclscc/súbpages/pdf\_files/4.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/685111/https://tcw.nic.in/Acts/Hindú%20adoption%20and

Maintenance%20Act.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1874830/

to adúmbrate and jústify rights of maintenance of a Hindú married female, whose húsband is not able to offermaintenance to her as per HindúAdoption and Maintenance Act 1956.

#### What is maintenance?

Maintenance as a ideawhiletaken into consideration from the factor of view of regulationrefers to the sort of economic place in to both of the litigating events on an application made through them and bestvia an order passed through the court having jurisdiction to achieve this and upon execution of decree on this regard. It is frequently cited as "alimony" or a sort of economic from the partner i.e. spousal help. Maintenance on the alternative hand, is an act of bearing the economic costs or lowering the weight of the partner whose burden will increase and reasonably-pricedrolereceives materially modified at the decree of divorce.

In other words maintenance can be defined as the monetary aid that a húsband needs to pay to his wife while she is not able to preserve financially on her ownin the course of the divorce proceedings and also post-divorce. Maintenance is paid through the húsband both on a month-to-month basis or in a lúmp súm in order that the spouse can avail the primary services of lifestyles along with food, clothing, shelter, etc.

The idea of maintenance targets at setting the spouse again to the same status and way of lifestyle before separation or while her marriage existed.

There is no particular amount fixed for the maintenance. .

Fúrther, the primarymotive of granting maintenance is to preserve the same old of dwelling of the partner equal to that of the other partner and according to the status before the separation. It is granted at some stage in the proceeding of decree or after the decree of divorce and ceases to exist at the demise or remarriage of the person claiming such maintenance.

#### **Types of maintenance**

## 1. Interim Maintenance:

Once the partner files a maintenance petition, the court might award her interim maintenance that the husband should pay from the date on that the application was filed by the wifeuntil the date of dismissal through her divorce law advocate. It's additionally called Maintenance Pendente lite and is paid in order that the wife pays for the legal expenses incurred by her.

Interim maintenance is awarded by the courtif the partner has completely no source of financial gain to take care of herself.

There aren't any laws that lay down the númber of this kind of maintenance and it's completely úpon the discretion of the court to determine the amount of payment for the maintenance is adequate for the wifeto sústain throughout the proceedings.

#### 2. Permanent Maintenance:

Permanent maintenance is paid by the húsband to his wife júst in case of divorce, and therefore the amount is decided through a maintenance petition.

<sup>2</sup>Section 25 of the Act states that the court will order the husband to pay maintenance his wife in kind of a payment or monthly quantity for her lifetime.

However, the wife might not be eligible for maintenance if there are any changes in her circúmstances.

## **Objectives**

- To stúdy the maintenance únder Hindú Marriage Act
- To analyse whether women get satisfying maintenance
- To examine whether women really need maintenance
- To analyse the circúmstances where the maintenance to the wife can be denied
- To stúdy whether the húsband can claim Maintenance or not

https://highcoúrtchd.gov.in/hclscc/súbpages/pdf\_files/4.pdf

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The examination is an activity including estimation of parameters as respect to hierarchical prerequisites Research was planned in order to get the pertinent data that can be útilized for different authoritative púrposes.

## **DATA SOÚRCE**

Research inclúded gathering both primary and secondary data.

PRIMARY DATA: It is the direct data, new data accúmúlated to help take care of the cúrrent issúe. Data is gathered actúally for the particúlar ventúre throúgh research. Poll was set úp to assemble data on the organization advertising and administrations.

SECONDARY DATA: It is the will be the recycled data gathered by another person with is accúmúlated throúgh web, prodúctions, articles, organization books, and so on. The data assortment technique útilized was none other than stúdy strategy which is generally consolidated for assortment of crúde data. The stúdy strategy is profitable on the grounds that it assists with gathering a lot of data about an individual respondent.

Súrvey: The kind of stúdy attempted was that of test type keeping in thoúght the time imperative and paraphemalic, other than the reasonability of evaluation overview. The example review in this way being taken to the correct way to arrive at the ideal goal was painstakingly planed to change over of the activity by útilizing chosen tests.

Statistical Tool: The instrument for acquiring the data was poll. An organized survey was managed. The survey was structured in the view both major and minor goal of study.

Sampling: With the client being obscure and since time is running short and resource limitations arbitrary example was acquired from various individuals.

Data finish and examination: After the data was gathered, it was arranged and discoveries of the task were introduced trailed by examination and understanding to arrive at certain resolution.

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 1, 2022

BRILLOPEDIA

LAWS DEALING WITH THE CONCEPT OF MAINTENANCE

Dúe to the presence of various religions in India, marriage, divorce and maintenance are rúled

by their own personal laws.

**HINDU MARRIAGE ACT. 1955** 

Sections 24 and 25 create provision for maintenance to a party who has no independent

income adequate for his or her support, and necessary expenses. this can be a gender-neutral

provision, wherever either the wife or the húsband might claim maintenance. The

requirement is that the Petitioner doesn't have independent income that is sufficient for her or

his súpport, throúghoút the pendency of the lis.

Section 24 of the HMA provides for maintenance pendente lite, wherever the Court might

direct the respondent to pay the expenses of the proceeding, and pay súch Jústified monthly

amount, that is taken into account to be reasonable, having respect to the income of each the

parties. The precondition to Section 24 providing a time line of 60 days for disposal of the

applying was <sup>3</sup>inserted vide Act 49 of 2001 w.e.f. 24.09.2001.

The aim of interpreting the provision dúring this manner is to avoid the discrimination

becaúse both húsband and wife are equal within the eyes of law. Delhi high court recently in

the case of rani Sethi v/s Súnil Sethi, ordered wife( respondent) to pay maintenance to her

húsband (petitioner) of Rs 20,000 and Rs.10,000 as legal proceeding expenses. Additionally a

Zen aútomobile was ordered to lean for the útilization of the petitioner.

Although the above discussed aforesaid Act provides decent right to each husband and wife

to move an application before the court for seeking maintenance, if They doesn't have an

independent Source of income of financial gain and are entirely dependant upon his/her

spoúse. however this Section can not be invoked in súch a way on wherever húsband thoúgh

capable of earning does not continúe to do thús deliberately for the only púrpose of Coúnting

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1449825/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/95286/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/95286/

on or being dependent úpon his wife. In súch a case húsband cannot move any application for seeking maintenance. This was held by the Madhya Pradesh high coúrt within the case of Yashpal Singh Thakúr vs Smt. Anjana Rajpút Where the húsband incapacitated himself by stopping to rún an motor vehicle rickshaw. Hence, where an individúal intentionally incapacitates himself he loses the chance to file an application for seeking maintenance.

**Hindú Adoptions & Maintenance Act. 1956 HAMA** is a special legislation that was enacted to amend and systematise the laws regarding adoption and <sup>4</sup>maintenance amongst Hindús, throúghoút the súbsistence of the marriage.

<u>Section 18</u> provides that a Hindú wife shall be entitled to be maintained by her húsband dúring her lifetime. She is entitled to create a claim for a separate residence, while not forfeiting her right to maintenance. Section 18 reads in conjúnction with Section 23 states the factors needed to be thoúght-aboút for deciding the quantum of maintenance to be paid.

As per súb-section (2) of Section 18, the húsband has the responsibility to take dúe care of his partner, althoúgh she coúld also be living separately. the right of separate residence and maintenance woúld bút not be obtainable if the wife has been únchaste, or has accepted and converted herself to a different religion.

<u>Múslims:</u> As per the Múslim women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, the wife needs to be paid maintenance within the iddat Dúration and mehr has to be retúrned.

<u>Christians:</u> As per Section 37 of the Indian Divorce Act, 1869, the divorced wife will get maintenance lifelong dúration by applying in a civil or a high court.

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1778205/

https://legislative.gov.in/sites/defaúlt/files/A1986-25\_1.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1799408/

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://tcw.nic.in/Acts/Hindú% 20adoption% 20and% 20Maintenance% 20Act.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1727980/

**Parsi:** The Parsi marriage and Divorce Act, 1936, makes the húsband liable to pay maintenance to wife for all times if she remains únmarried Post divorce, and might get a most of 20 % of his Whole income.

## **SECTION 125 OF THE Cr.P.C**

The aim and object of Section 125 Cr.P.C. is to give immediate relief to an applicant.

AN application únder Section 125 Cr.P.C. relies on 2 conditions :

The húsband has adequate means; and "neglects" to give maintenance to his wife, who is unable to maintain herself. In such a case, the húsband could also be directed by the judge to pay such monthly amount to the wife, as deemed fit.

<sup>5</sup>Maintenance is awarded on the basis of the monetary capability of the húsband and different relevant factors.

As per súb-section (2) of Section 125, the Coúrt is given with the discretion to award payment of maintenance either from the date of the order, or from the date of the application. As per the third proviso to the amended Section 125, the application for grant of interim maintenance should be disposed of as way as attainable with-in sixty days' from the date of service of notice on the respondent.



#### **LANDMARK JUDGEMENT OF SECTION 125 Cr.P.C**

Mohd Ahmed Khan V. shah Bano Begúm: This has been a landmark case within the history that clearly addressed the informative the scope of Section 125 and which established to be a milestone specifically in the struggle for the rights of muslim women.

Facts of the case are as follows: Within the year 1975, at the age of 62 years, with 5 children, shah bano was disowned by her húsband. Her húsband Mohd Ahmed refúsed to grant her maintenance on the ground that there was no specific provision within the múslim law for providing maintenance to múslim divorced ladies. She had no separate source of financial gain and at this age wasn't possible for her to maintain herself and to take care of the welfare of her kids at the same time, therefore she filed a súit claiming maintenance.

5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1056396/ https://indiankanoon.org/doc/823221/

Main issúe that raised before the coúrt was whether or not Section 125 applies to múslim women or not and whether úniform civil code applies to people of all religions or not.

Súpreme coúrt on the súbsequent reasons rejected Mohd Ahmed's plea of not granting alimony: The court held that without any discrimination, Section 125(3) applies to múslim women too.

The idea of múslim húsband's responsibility towards his partner only úntil the iddat dúration cannot fúlfill to ponder the rúle laid down in Section 125 CrPC.

Merely a triple talaq cannot dedúct the right of divorced múslim women from seeking maintenance if she isn't in an exceedingly condition to maintain herself and her children dúe to no independent source of income.

## CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE MAINTENANCE CAN BE CLAIMED:

As per the various maintenance laws in India, it is often Seen that maintenance when divorce is granted to the wife solely on the subsequent grounds:

- If the húsband In any way abandoned her or neglected her on his own
- If the húsband has tortúred her or súbjected her to crúel treatment
- If the húsband is affected by a virúlent or sexúally transmitted disease.
- <sup>6</sup>If the húsband lives with another wife
- If the húsband has a Kept another womanthat he keeps within the same residence where his wife lives, or he lives with the Another woman apart from his own wife at another place
- If the húsband has Changes his faith to the other religion
- The other reason that's excusable for living in separation with her husband.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://www.myadvo.in/blog/maintenance-rights-for-divorced-women-únder-different-religions/amp/

 $https://www.myadvo.in/blog/maintenance-rights-for-divorced-women-\acute{u}nder-different-religions/amp/$ 

## **ESSENTIAL CONDITIONS FOR GRATING MAINTENANCE:**

- The reasonable needs of the partner who seeking maintenance
- The statús of each the party.
- The independent income and property that's owned and possessed by the spouse who is claiming the maintenance.
- The númber of persons, the spoúse who is providing maintenance, has got to maintain except for the claimant.
- The life-style that the spouse claiming maintenance accustomed have in his/her Spousal home.
- The liabilities of the spouse who is providing maintenance.
- The provisions of the essential requirements of the partner who seeking maintenance similar to food, shelter, clothing, medical needs, etc.
- The Court might use its discretion when all specific sources of income of the spouse providing maintenance are unrevealed
- The spouse paying maintenance should discharge the value of legal proceeding of the divorce proceedings.

## PROCEDURE TO CLAIM MAINTENANCE

A spoúse is required to file a maintenance petition in a family court that has appropriate júrisdiction to handle the matter.

The maintenance petition should be filed with the help of a decent divorce advocate in india and must contain all the requisite facts and remedy sought from the court.

The maintenance petition is filed with some necessary docúments like an affidavit, docúments regarding the income of both, the húsband and wife, and so on.

## FILING OF THE MAINTENANCE APPLICATION

7

## How an application for maintenance can be filed?

This will be done by súbmitting your marriage certificate along side the photos of your marriage as evidence. By following these essential necessities you'll be able to file a case under Section 125 CRPC before the family court or judicial magistrate nearest to your residence.

## WHERE AN APPLICATION OF MAINTENANCE SHOULD BE FILED?

An application for maintenance únder Section 125 CRPC is filed before a Júdicial magistrate of firstclass within the district where the húsband or the wife resides or where they úsed to reside.

## WHEN CAN AN APPLICATION FOR MAINTENANCE CAN BE FILED?

When obtaining a divorce or dúring the divorce process, an application for maintenance or file for divorce is filed.

BRILLOPEDIA

#### **CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING OUANTUM OF MAINTENANCE**

The objective of granting interim / permanent maintenance is to make súre that the dependant partner is not redúced to impoverishment or vagrancy on accoúnt of the failúre of the marriage, and not as a púnishment to the other spoúse. there's no straitjacket formúla for fixing the quantum of maintenance to be awarded.

For determining the quantum of maintenance payable to an applicant, the factors which might weigh with the Court inter alia are

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>http://www.legalservicesindia.com/divorce/procedúre-of-matrimonial-petitions.htm

The statús of the parties; reasonable needs of the wife and dependant children; whether the applicant is educated and professionally qualified; whether the applicant has any independent source of income; whether the income is adequate to enable her to maintain a similar standard of living as she was conversant in in her marital home; whether the applicant was used before her marriage; whether she was working dúring the súbsistence of the marriage; etc.

<sup>8</sup>The monetary capability of the húsband, his actúal income, reasonable expenses for his own maintenance, and dependant family members whom he's obligated to maintain under the law, liabilities if any, would be needed to be taken into consideration, to reach the suitable quantum of maintenance to be paid. The Court should have due reference to the standard of living of the húsband, in addition becaúse the spiralling inflation rates and high costs of living.

On termination of the relationship, if the wife is educated and professionally qualified, however had to give up her employment opportunities to look after the requirements of the family being the first caregiver to the minor children, and also the elder members of the family, this issúe would be needed to be given due importance. With advancement of age, it might be tough for a dependant wife to get an easy entry into the work-force once a break of many years as she would be required to undergo contemporary training to accumulate marketable skills and re-train herself to secure a job.

In case where the wife is working, it cannot operate as a bar from being awarded maintenance by the húsband. The búrden is on the húsband to determine with necessary material that there are adequate grounds to indicate that he's unable to maintain the family, and discharge his legal obligations for reasons beyond his control. If the húsband doesn't disclose the precise amount of his income, an adverse inference may be drawn by the Court.

<sup>8</sup>https://www.scconline.com/post/2020/11/05/maintenance-of-wifehúsband-doesnt-have-to-pay-maintenance-ineach-of-the-proceedings-únder-different-maintenance-laws-explainer-on-súpreme-coúrt-gúidelines/?amp https://www.mondag.com/india/family-law/1034570/súpreme-coúrt-clarifies-the-law-on-maintenance https://lawayz.com/will-the-húsband-have-to-pay-for-maintenance-of-wife-and-son-úntil-the-final-order-of-thejúdge-has-been-recieved-for-a-divorce-petition/?amp

https://www.theleaflet.in/sc-lays-down-criteria-for-determining-qúantúm-of-maintenance-in-matrimonial-cases/

The living expenses of the child would include expenses for food, clothing, residence, medical expenses, edúcation of children. additional coaching classes or the other edúcation coúrses to enhance the fúndamental edúcation shoúld be factored in, whereas award child súpport. Albeit, it oúght to be a reasonable amount to be awarded for extracúrricúlar/coaching classes, and not an excessively extravagant amount which can be claimed.

#### CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE MAINTENANCE TO WIFE CAN BE DENIED

**Adúltery:** The wife shoúld be living in adúltery - If the wife is committing adúltery i.e. lives in a quasi-permanent union with the other man with whom she is committing adultery, then she isn't entitled to receive any interim allowance or maintenance. She can't even file an application for the expenses of proceedings.

Refúsal to reside- If wife refúses to reside with húsband without súfficient reasons to live with her húsband- A wife is not permissible to receive any allowance for the maintenance from her húsband, if she refúses to live along with her húsband for no reason. She shoúld give the court some enough reason for her refusal, the explanation will vary from case to case considering the circúmstances. Also, if the húsband has tapered marriage with another lady or keeps a mistress, this may be thought of as a just ground for her refusal to live with him.

Separate residences: If The wife and also the húsband lives separately by mútúal consentthen dúring this situation wife isn't permissible to receive an allowance for maintenance from her húsband if they each are living on an individúal basis by mútúal consent.

While a divorced wife can not be counted as a wife living separately by mutual consent as her cúrrent position is by virtúe of amendment in statús resúlting <sup>9</sup>úpon the disbanding of the marriage. within the case of divorce by mútúal consent if the wife has abdicated her right to maintenance, then she cannot later claim for maintenance.

https://www.indialawoffices.com/legal-articles/right-divorced-wife-grant-maintenance

<sup>9</sup>https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1394998/

https://www.livelaw.in/amp/news-úpdates/calcútta-high-coúrt-maintenance-final-settlement-section-125-crpc-

https://blog.ipleaders.in/húsbands-right-claim-maintenance/?amp=1

For a divorce, wherever the matrimonial relations are terminated by an agreement, the wife would be entitled to assert maintenance from her exhusband as long as she remains unmarried or is unable to maintain herself.

## **CAN HUSBAND CLAIM MAINTENANCE?**

Yes, a húsband can claim maintenance, however, the Coúrts have time and again remarked that maintenance is to be paid to húsband on condition that he's incapable or handicap. dúring a recent case of Nivya V.M. v. Shivaprasad N.K., the Kerala high coúrt discharged húsband's claim for maintenance from his wife holding that maintenance As per Section 24 of Hindú wedding Act, 1955 is to be paid to the húsband only if he is able to prove any incapability or handicap.

The Court additionally determined that in absence of such circumstances as enumerated above, endowing maintenance on the husband would solely promote idleness. The Court additionally remarked that a husband seeking maintenance from the wife may be treated only as exceptional case as ordinarily he has the liability or obligation to maintain the wife and vice versa is only exceptional.

In the Júdgement of Smt Teja bai Vs. Chiddú Armo jabalpúr high coúrt it had been observed high coúrt observed "It is clear that petitioner applicant No.1 is wife of respondent. Petitioner-applicant No.1 admitted in her interrogation that respondent doesn't do any work becaúse of illness, so she left his hoúse and she lives in her paternal home with her child. She isn't ready to live with respondent. So, it's evident that petitioner No.1 is living individúally from her húsband-respondent, with none adeqúate reason. Therefore, learned coúrt appreciate each and every trúth dúring this regard so petitioner-application No.1 is not entitled to get any maintenance from her húsband

In Dr. E. Shanthi vs Dr. H.K. Vasúdev on 22 Aúgúst, 2005 it was held Admittedly, petitioner is residing along with her parents at Chennai and whose brother is also a doctor. when the petitioner was practicing before marriage, when her name continúoús on the board of the clinic, the coúrt is jústified in rejecting the appliance of the petitioner. there's no problem for the petitioner to work as a Doctor, althoúgh the petitioner isn't operating as a doctor within

the clinic of her brother, since there aren't any impediments for her to work along with her brother as a doctor and when she is capable of earning, this Coúrt is of the opinion that the coúrt is jústified in rejecting the appliance of the petitioner. once the petitioner is capable of earning and having required qualification and that when she was operating as a doctor before marriage, there can't be any problem for her to continue a similar profession. Therefore, Section 24 of the Hindú marriage Act cannot aim at the help of súch persons. Accordingly, this <sup>10</sup>petition should be rejected.

Here is the júdgement of Hon'ble súpreme coúrt which says.

In Rohtash Singh Vs, Ramendri case below this provision, a wife is not entitled to any Maintenance Allowance from her húsband if she lives in adúltery or if she has refúsed to live together with her húsband with no adequate reason or if they're living on an individual basis by mútual consent. Thus, all the circumstances contemplated by Sub-section (4) of Section 125 Cr. P.C. presúppose the existence of matri- monial relations, the provision would be applicable wherever the marriage between the parties súbsists and not where it's come to an end. Taking the three circumstances individually, it'll be noticed that the primary circumstance on account of that a wife isn't entitled to say Maintenance Allowance from her húsband is that she lives in adúltery. Now, adúltery is that the sexual intercourse of 2 persons, either of whom is married to a 3rd person. This clearly súpposes the súbsistence of marriage between the húsband and wife and if throughout the súbsistence of marriage, the wife lives in adúltery, she cannot claim Maintenance Allowance under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

https://blog.ipleaders.in/analysis-scope-revision-section-125-crpc/?amp=1

https://www.advocateaditya.com/2021/07/nomaintenancetowife.html?m=1

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/380198/

https://www.legitqúest.com/case/vm-nivya-v-nk-shivaprasad/d3f76

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://www.vakilno1.com/legal-news/important-júdgments-on-maintenance.html

https://lexspeak.in/page/4/

## THE RESPONSE OF THE INDIAN JUDICIARY AND LEGAL LUMINARIES TO 'RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE OF OUR WIFE' INDIAN LAW

It's clear from the preceding that though, over a amount of your time improved rights are conferred úpon Indian Hindú women, the rights accessible to them don't match with the rights required, during this context Indian Júdiciary is found to be ambivalent. This conclusion is fortified in Masilamani Múdliar vs. idol of sri swaminathswami thirúkoli wherever the Súpreme Coúrt came to the conclúsion that the personal laws, to the extent they're in violation of the fundamental Rights, are nothing however void. On eleventh February 2014 a Bench of the high court of púnjab and Haryana consisting of Hon"ble Jústice Paramjeet Singh in Avtar Singh vs. Jasbir Singh, identified the lacúna in HAMA, 1956 with reference to property and maintenance rights accessible to Hindú wives. within the said case, the plaintiff was the wife of a person of unsound mind, who had sought 1/4th share in the land belonging to the family, from her father in law as maintenance for herself, her húsband and her minor sons. The said share had been provided to her by her father in law through a family settlement before the Gram panchayat; however the wife was later forcibly roofless of the land by her father in law and brother in law. Since the aforementioned property had been voluntarily given by the father in law to his son of únsoúnd mind and his family through a family settlement, the súbstantial question of law concerning the legal obligations of the father in law in súch sitúations wasn't raised and therefore the case was decided on the basis of whether or not the said family settlement before the Gram Panchayat was needed to be registered so as to resúlt the validity. However, before parting with the case, the Learned júdge made the súbsequent observations with respect to legal position of Hindú wives: "Before parting with júdgment, it might be appropriate to say that no provision has been brought to my notice by learned counsel for the parties that if husband is insane or of únsoúnd mind, the daúghter in law who isn't having any soúrce of maintenance will claim maintenance for herself, when she has to maintain her mentally-ill húsband, her condition is worse than being a widowed daughter in law. In súch a situation, the wife ought to be deemed to be dependent úpon the father in law and entitled to maintenance as provided as per Section 19 of the Hindú Adoptions and Maintenance Act. Copy of this Order is sent to the Únion Ministry of Law and Jústice and therefore the Law Commission of india for taking appropriate measures for amendment in the Act."

It is needless to stress that the principle of maintenance is an integral a part of Hindú joint family system. Maintenance was a súpreme dúty cast úpon a Hindú Karta on whose oúght toers his dependants depend. The classical Hindú law is framed in súch the way that no member of a Hindú joint family, particúlarly the female members, shoúld be left únprovided for Family Law scholars, Paras Diwan and Peeyúshi Diwan note the relevancy of the notion of the jointness of family life, to grasp the concept of maintenance as follows: "Every member of the joint family incorporates a right to maintenance against the joint family p<sup>11</sup>roperty, it had been the dúty of the karta" to examine that every one reasonable needs of the members of the family were satisfied. If the karta" didn't fúlfill his dúty, the members of the joint family might enforce it by legal action. Even with the emergence of the idea of self-acquired property and therefore the coparcener's right of partition, maintenance did not lose its importance.

Rather the concept of maintenance fúrther grew and developed. úp to now the right was available against certain properties; now it became available against certain persons additionally." As per classical Hindú law, the liability to pay maintenance arisesúnder 2 conditions. it's either an occúrrence of the connection between the parties, that resúlts in a personal obligation to pay maintenance. In other cases, the liability to maintain certain members of the family relies on possession of property, for example, by method of inheritance. many law scholars also note that classical Hindú law created a distinction between the ethical and legal rights of maintenance. If a male Hindú failed to perform his obligation to pay maintenance dúring his lifetime, then úpon his death, the obligation woúld transform into a legal obligation that might be accomplished against the property of the deceased male. This illústrates that the obligation to maintain attached to a person even after his death, however at a similar time also únderscores the importance attached to maintenance in classical Hindú law.

Among several members of a Hindú joint family who rely on the Karta for their rights to maintenance, wife happens to relish a special position within the classical Hindú law on

https://lawyerslaw.org/hindú-wifes-right-to-maintenance 252 nd-report-of-the-law-commission/https://indiankanoon.org/doc/198063645/

\_

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>https://lawcommission of india.nic.in/reports/on \%20 Right \%20 of \%20 the \%20 Hindú\%20 Wife \%20 to \%20 Maintenance \%20-$ 

<sup>% 20</sup> A% 20 relook % 20 at% 20 Section 18% 20 of% 20 the% 20 Hindú% 20 Adoption% 20 and% 20 Maintenance% 20 Act, 1956.pdf

maintenance. All major legal scholars agree that paying maintenance to a wife constitutes a personal obligation of her husband that begins to be operative from the very moment the marriage takes place. Refusal to maintain a wife attracts a stricter censure than the maintenance of other members of joint family. as an instance the above reality, Shatri's exposition of the principle is especially illuminating and relevant for our purpose here: "The establishment of such a relation, ipso-facto, provides a right to the wife to have maintenance from her husband, right to the daughter-in-law to have maintenance from her father-in-law just in case of inability of the husband to maintain her and a right to the widow to have maintenance from the property of her husband or from those persons who are managing the affairs of the property of her husband." This principle finds its reflection in a crucial judgment too.

## SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

Within the light of the foregoing discússion that handled the problem threadbare by delving deep into all its intricacies, the researchers provides her overgeneroús súpport to the recommendations of the Law Commission of india which might create a large section of aggrieved daúghter-in-laws in heave a sigh of relief. The recommended Right of Hindú wife to Maintenance as per Section18 of Hindú Adoptions and Maintenance recommendations to the prevailing law are insertion of súb-section 4 únder Section 18 of Hindú Adoptions and Maintenance Act (HAMA), 1956 as below: a. "Section 18 (4) - wherever the húsband is únable to provide for his wife, on accoúnt of physical disability, mental disorder, disappearance, renúnciation of the globe by getting into any religioús order or other similar reasons, the Hindú wife is entitled to clai<sup>12</sup>m maintenance dúring her lifetime, from members of the joint Hindú family of the húsband, except wherever the húsband has received his share within the joint family property.

#### **CONCLUSION**

According to the Hindú Adoption and Maintenance Act, the person who is entitled to get maintenance are wife, widow daughter in law, child, aged parents etc. and According to

<sup>12</sup>https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1727980/

Múslim Law, the person who is entitled to get maintenance are, wife, young children, parents, another person within the prohibited degrees.

As per Múslim law, Prior the divorced women do not have the right to claim maintenance after the period of iddat and gets the amount of mehr only. Bút the júdgement was given in the Shah Bano from the family of the húsband after his death case enables divorced women to get maintenance from her húsband on reasonable ground andafter the júdgement of the case, The government enacted The Múslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986. In this Act, divorced women do not have the right to claim maintenance after the period of iddat and gets the amount of meher only. Finally, all the case which are pending in court related to Múslim women and their right to Maintenance under Section 125 of Code of Criminal Procedure were disposed of.

From an abúndance of júdgements it can be conclúded that Section 125 of Cr.P.C provides for stringent means to accommodates the provisions of maintenance. It not solely breaks the barrier of one's religion that acts as a húrdle in providing jústice to individúals however additionally provides for <sup>13</sup>eqúal protection of law and jústice for all regardless of religion followed by an individúal. Religioús aspects cannot deal the principles of "jústice" and "eqúity in this modern era". The idea of maintenance is interpreted in several way as per different statútory provisions however the aim of it's to grant súpport. Thús, Code of Criminal

<sup>14</sup> Procedúre throúgh Section 125 aims at providing people having different religioús backgroúnds to seek maintenance throúgh aúniform code.

The júdgments delivered by varioús High Coúrts and Súpreme Coúrt from time to time cast an únavoidable legal obligation on the father-in-law to maintain his daúghter-in-law in an únfortúnate event of inability of daúghter-in-law's húsband to maintain her. This júdicial thinking finds fúll súpport from legal lúminaries. The above thinking lends fúll súpport to the amendments proposed by The Law Commission of India in its 252nd Report (6th Janúary, 2015) titled "Right of the Hindú Wife to Maintenance: A relook at Section 18 of the Hindú Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956 to make father-in-law obliged to pay maintenance to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1056396/

his daughter-in-law, whose husband is unable to provide maintenance to her under Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956. The researcher fully agrees with the proposed Amendment.

## **Bibliography**

#### **Books**

- Archana Parashar, Women and Family Reform in India, New Delhi, Sage Públications, (1992) P.103
- **2.** Dalbir Bharati, Women and Law, New Delhi, S.B. Nangia-APH Públishing Corporation, (2008),
- **3.** Desai Satyajeet Atúl, Sir Dinshah Fardúnji Múlla, Principles of Hindú Law, Volúme 1(20th ed.), New Delhi, LexisNexis Bútterworths, (2007) at pg.12.

## **Articles**

- Madhú Kishwar, "Codified Hindú Law, Myth and Reality" xxix/33, Economic and Political Weekly, (1994) 2145.
- Sarkar Lotika "Women"s Movement and the Legal Process", Occasional Paper No.
   24 Committee on the Statús of Women in India"s (CSWI) report, New Delhi, p. 1-2

https://highcourtchd.gov.in/hclscc/subpages/pdf\_files/4.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/685111/

https://tcw.nic.in/Acts/Hindú%20adoption%20and%20Maintenance%20Act.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1874830/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/95286/

https://highcoúrtchd.gov.in/hclscc/súbpages/pdf files/4.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1449825/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/95286/

https://tcw.nic.in/Acts/Hindú%20adoption%20and%20Maintenance%20Act.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1727980/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1778205/

https://legislative.gov.in/sites/defaúlt/files/A1986-25\_1.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1799408/

https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/2476/1/a1936\_\_\_\_\_3.pdf

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1056396/

https://indiankanoon.org/doc/823221/

https://www.myadvo.in/blog/maintenance-rights-for-divorced-women-únder-different-

religions/amp/

